REMARKS

The Official Action mailed November 8, 2005, has been received and its contents carefully noted. This response is filed within three months of the mailing date of the Official Action and therefore is believed to be timely without extension of time. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully submit that this response is being timely filed.

The Applicants note with appreciation the consideration of the Information Disclosure Statements filed on October 15, 2003; and April 19, 2005.

Claims 1-4 and 19-44 were pending in the present application prior to the above amendment. Claims 19-22, 25, 26, 29, 30, 33, 34, 37 and 38 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 1-4, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 32, 35, 36 and 39-44 have been amended to better recite the features of the present invention. Applicants note with appreciation the allowance of claims 1-4, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 32, 35 and 36 (page 3, Paper No. 20051104). Accordingly, claims 1-4, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 32, 35, 36 and 39-44 are now pending in the present application, of which claims 1, 3, 23, 27, 31 and 35 are independent. For the reasons set forth in detail below, all claims are believed to be in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration is requested.

The Official Action requests cancellation of withdrawn claims 20, 22, 26, 30, 34 and 38 (page 2, Id.). In response, the Applicants have canceled claims 20, 22, 26, 30, 34 and 38 in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion.

The Official Action objects to claims 19, 21, 25, 29, 33 and 37 under 37 CFR § 1.75(c) asserting that they fail to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. The Examiner also suggests that independent claims 1, 3, 23, 27, 31 and 35 be amended to recite a digital camera (pages 2-3, Id.). In response, claims 19, 21, 25, 29, 33 and 37 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 1, 3, 23, 27, 31 and 35 have been amended to recite a digital camera in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. Also, the claims which depend from claims 1, 3, 23, 27, 31 and 32 have been amended to recite a digital camera. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objections are requested.

- 8 -

The Official Action rejects claims 39-44 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraphs, asserting that the recitation of "wherein the camera is an active matrix type" lacks support in the specification and is indefinite. In response, claims 39-44 have been amended to recite that "wherein the display portion is an active matrix type." The Applicants respectfully submit that amended claims 39-44 are adequately described in the specification and definite. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 are in order and respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable to place this application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric J. Robinson

Reg. No. 38,285

Robinson Intellectual Property Law Office, P.C. **PMB 955** 21010 Southbank Street Potomac Falls, Virginia 20165 (571) 434-6789